Network Resources and QoS

- Wide variety of network applications
  - Many require certain Quality of Service (QoS)
  - Achieved through proper resource allocation
- Network resources
  - Bandwidth, buffer space and processor time
  - Shared and finite
- Resource demands continue to increase
  - New killer applications and more users
The Tragedy of the Commons

- Want to prevent *The Tragedy of the Commons*
  - Shared finite resource with no *direct* feedback
  - Consumers will always desire more
- Pricing can provide
  - Network control
  - Optimal resource allocations
  - Mechanism for cost recovery

---

Pricing Misconceptions

- No congestion, supply will be greater than demand
- Pricing will be based on content only; *information economy, not a resource economy*
- Economists have already solved this problem
- Current telephone pricing model is adequate
Resource Pricing

- Pricing goals
  - Competitive
  - Usage-sensitive - time and volume (not flat)
  - Incentive for users to conform (market demands)
  - Differentiated pricing (value across services)

- Service types
  - Guaranteed - QoS, price or resources? How long?
  - Best effort (elastic traffic) - No guarantees but fair treatment

Competitive Market Model

- Model - consumers, producers and scarce resources

- Prices
  - Influence behavior
  - Based on supply & demand
  - Equilibrium when demand = supply

- Advantages
  - Maximize utility (QoS)
  - Optimal and fair distributions
**Optimality and Fairness**

- **Pareto-optimal** (economics) - No one can increase their utility without decreasing the utility of another

- **Weighted max-min** (network) - Indifferent to the number of hops

- **Proportionally fair per unit charge** (network/economic) - Considers the number of hops

- **Social welfare** (economics)
  - **Equity criterion** - Equal utility
  - **Utility criterion** - $\max\{\sum \text{utility}\}$

*Which is appropriate?*

---

**Fairness Examples**

Assume each resource has supply of 1.

- Max-min fair - allocation $\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$

- Proportionally fair per unit charge - allocation $\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$
Economics and Networks

Using a market model for network resource pricing

- Users ≡ consumers
- Switches ≡ producers
- Link bandwidth ≡ resource

Implementation issues

- Where and how is the price set?
- How long is the price valid (time scale)?
- How is market information distributed?
- Is the method scalable?

Auction Methods

- Users bid at centralize broker for resources
- Auction must close before resources are sold
- Large time scale (bidding process)
- Appropriate for long term agreements
Smart Market

- Users place bid in data packets
- Switches forward packets based on bid and local price
- Feedback based on observed QoS

Spot Market

Model consists of - switches, users and Network Brokers
- Switch - Each link is an independent market
- User - Seeks resources
- Network Broker (NB) - Represents the user
**Spot Market**

- Switch
  - Prices updated based on supply and demand
  - Bandwidth is a **non-storable** resource
  - Immediate availability (no reservation overhead)
- User
  - Charged for usage (similar to residential electricity)
- Network Broker (NB) - Represents the user
  - Located at the network edge
  - Maximizes utility (QoS)

---

**Spot Market Performance**

Constant demand

- Proofs of optimal and fair allocations

Network dynamics - simulations

- Optimal allocations over 92% of the time

Example Simulation Results

- Compare *perfect* max-min and demand WMM
- Measure QoS, desire *equitable* allocation
- 152 users - MPEG videos and random start times
- Two types of users *MoD* and *Teleconferencing*
Spot Market Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Distributed</td>
<td>- No guarantees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Little a priori info required</td>
<td>- Profit maximization?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Low implementation cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stateless &amp; simple calc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Efficient &amp; fair allocations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Calculation at network edge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Allows demand changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Immediate availability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multi-Market

Provides guarantees
Model consists of: switches, users and NBs

- **Switch** - two markets per link (reservation & spot)
  - Reservation market
    * Bandwidth divided into equal segments of time
    * Portions of the segment are sold via auction
    * Any unused segment is sold as spot

- **User/NB**
  - Preferences described with an indifference curve
  - NB maximizes the utility

Multi-Market Simulation

Demonstrate advantages of multi-market economy

- Seven link *parking-lot* network configuration
- Segment length was 15 minutes
- Each user transmitted a MPEG video and were
  - Long-term (120 total)
    * 1/2 prefer reserved, remaining prefer cheaper
  - Short-term (40 total)
    * Prefer cheaper, cause sudden demand shift
### Multi-Market Approach

#### Advantages

- Immediate availability and guarantees
- Users can purchase various types
- Users can modify choices as prices change

#### Disadvantages

- Guarantee duration
Current Bandwidth Pricing

Data carriers (UUNET, MCIWorldCom and AT&T)

- Pricing
  - Tier pricing based on *average* monthly usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 100Kbps</td>
<td>$x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 - 200Kbps</td>
<td>$2x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Price protected, 384Kbps tier price (*flat rate*)

- Most offer a Service Level Agreement (SLA)

---

Service Level Agreement

Contract between carrier and client specifying:

- Type of service
- Network reliability
- QoS (latency and loss)
  - Application - average QoS for an application
  - Network - average QoS (currently offered)
- Monitoring method
- Compensation
Bandwidth as a Commodity

- What is required
  - Carriers interconnected
  - QoS standards developed and measured
  - Master agreements (no one-off contracts)
- Bandwidth traded based on price, term and amount
- Want transactions to close quickly (within seconds)

Current Bandwidth Markets

- Current markets include
  www.band-x.com, www.rateexchange.com, and
  www.bandwidth.com
- Companies
  - Interconnected via pooling points
  - Post capacity needed or offered
- Markets match potential buyers and sellers
  - Negotiations may take days to weeks
  - During the negotiation the value may change (opportunity cost).
Future Research

- Pricing for security and fault tolerance
  - Prevent Denial of Service (DoS)
  - ARQoS and MARX projects
- Pricing for IP Differentiated Services (DiffServ)
  - Differentiated pricing based on QoS classes
  - Association of SLA and pricing
  - Pricing a single connection in an aggregate flow

Additional Information

http://www2.ncsu.edu/eos/service/ece/project/rtcomm/ewfulp/WWW/index.html

- Pricing links
- Market descriptions
- SLA descriptions and link